Bagging and Random Forests

Nate Wells

Math 243: Stat Learning

November 15th, 2021

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | |
| | |

Outline

In today's class, we will...

- Introduce ensemble modeling as means of improving low accuracy models
- Discuss bagging and random forests as methods for reducing variance in decision trees
- Implement random forests in R

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Section 1

Ensemble Models

Nate Wells (Math 243: Stat Learning)



• Who Wants to Be a Millionaire is a television gameshow that debuted in the 1990s and in which contestants answer a series of increasingly difficult multiple choice questions in order to win the grand prize of \$1,000,000.



| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- The original show included 3 "lifeline" options contestants could use to answer questions:
 - 50:50: Two randomly selected incorrect answers are eliminated
 - Phone a Friend: The contestant calls a friend and is given 30 seconds to discuss
 - Ask the Audience: Audience members each vote on the answer they think is correct



| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- The original show included 3 "lifeline" options contestants could use to answer questions:
 - 50:50: Two randomly selected incorrect answers are eliminated
 - Phone a Friend: The contestant calls a friend and is given 30 seconds to discuss
 - Ask the Audience: Audience members each vote on the answer they think is correct



• Which lifeline has the highest chance of producing the correct answer?

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- The original show included 3 "lifeline" options contestants could use to answer questions:
 - 50:50: Two randomly selected incorrect answers are eliminated
 - Phone a Friend: The contestant calls a friend and is given 30 seconds to discuss
 - Ask the Audience: Audience members each vote on the answer they think is correct



• Which lifeline has the highest chance of producing the correct answer?

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- The original show included 3 "lifeline" options contestants could use to answer questions:
 - 50:50: Two randomly selected incorrect answers are eliminated
 - Phone a Friend: The contestant calls a friend and is given 30 seconds to discuss
 - Ask the Audience: Audience members each vote on the answer they think is correct



• Which lifeline has the highest chance of producing the correct answer?

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

• Suppose we have *m* different models to predict *Y* based on *X*₁,..., *X_n*. Suppose \hat{Y}_i is the prediction made by the *i*th model.

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- Suppose we have *m* different models to predict *Y* based on *X*₁,..., *X_n*. Suppose \hat{Y}_i is the prediction made by the *i*th model.
- A simple ensemble model makes a prediction \hat{Y} as the weighted average of the predictions from each model:

$$\hat{Y} = w_1 \hat{Y}_1 + \dots + w_m \hat{Y}_m$$
 where $w_1 + \dots w_m = 1$, $w_i \ge 0$

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- Suppose we have *m* different models to predict *Y* based on *X*₁,..., *X_n*. Suppose \hat{Y}_i is the prediction made by the *i*th model.
- A simple ensemble model makes a prediction \hat{Y} as the weighted average of the predictions from each model:

$$\hat{Y} = w_1 \hat{Y}_1 + \cdots + w_m \hat{Y}_m$$
 where $w_1 + \ldots w_m = 1$, $w_i \ge 0$

• Advantages of ensemble models?

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- Suppose we have *m* different models to predict *Y* based on *X*₁,..., *X_n*. Suppose \hat{Y}_i is the prediction made by the *i*th model.
- A simple ensemble model makes a prediction \hat{Y} as the weighted average of the predictions from each model:

$$\hat{Y} = w_1 \, \hat{Y}_1 + \dots + w_m \, \hat{Y}_m \qquad \mathrm{where} \ w_1 + \dots w_m = 1, \quad w_i \geq 0$$

- Advantages of ensemble models?
 - Significantly more flexible than a single model
 - More efficient than single model
 - More resilient against model-building bias

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- Suppose we have *m* different models to predict *Y* based on *X*₁,..., *X_n*. Suppose \hat{Y}_i is the prediction made by the *i*th model.
- A simple ensemble model makes a prediction \hat{Y} as the weighted average of the predictions from each model:

$$\hat{Y} = w_1 \hat{Y}_1 + \dots + w_m \hat{Y}_m$$
 where $w_1 + \dots w_m = 1$, $w_i \ge 0$

- Advantages of ensemble models?
 - Significantly more flexible than a single model
 - More efficient than single model
 - More resilient against model-building bias
- Disadvantages?

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | | |
| | | |

- Suppose we have *m* different models to predict *Y* based on *X*₁,..., *X_n*. Suppose \hat{Y}_i is the prediction made by the *i*th model.
- A simple ensemble model makes a prediction \hat{Y} as the weighted average of the predictions from each model:

$$\hat{Y} = w_1 \hat{Y}_1 + \dots + w_m \hat{Y}_m$$
 where $w_1 + \dots w_m = 1$, $w_i \ge 0$

- Advantages of ensemble models?
 - Significantly more flexible than a single model
 - More efficient than single model
 - More resilient against model-building bias
- Disadvantages?
 - Making predictions is more computationally expensive
 - Favors models with low test time
 - Diminishing returns on the number models that can be incorporated in ensemble

Section 2

Bagging

Nate Wells (Math 243: Stat Learning)

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 0●0000000 | 0000 | |
| | | | |

Suppose we only have one training set, but still want to build an ensemble of regression tree models. How can we do it?

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 0●0000000 | 0000 | |
| | | | |

Suppose we only have one training set, but still want to build an ensemble of regression tree models. How can we do it?

• Bagging (Bootstrap aggregation) was one of the earliest ensemble techniques

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | ○●○○○○○○○ | 0000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |

Suppose we only have one training set, but still want to build an ensemble of regression tree models. How can we do it?

• Bagging (Bootstrap aggregation) was one of the earliest ensemble techniques

To create a bagged model, create many bootstrap samples from the original training set, and fit a decision tree to each. Average the resulting predictions.

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | ○●○○○○○○○ | 0000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |

Suppose we only have one training set, but still want to build an ensemble of regression tree models. How can we do it?

• Bagging (Bootstrap aggregation) was one of the earliest ensemble techniques

To create a bagged model, create many bootstrap samples from the original training set, and fit a decision tree to each. Average the resulting predictions.

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 0●0000000 | 0000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |

Suppose we only have one training set, but still want to build an ensemble of regression tree models. How can we do it?

• Bagging (Bootstrap aggregation) was one of the earliest ensemble techniques

To create a bagged model, create many bootstrap samples from the original training set, and fit a decision tree to each. Average the resulting predictions.

- Recall that decision trees tend to have high variance. But averaging the results of independent (or weakly dependent) variables decreases variance
 - Think about the Central Limit Theorem

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 0●0000000 | 0000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |

Suppose we only have one training set, but still want to build an ensemble of regression tree models. How can we do it?

• Bagging (Bootstrap aggregation) was one of the earliest ensemble techniques

To create a bagged model, create many bootstrap samples from the original training set, and fit a decision tree to each. Average the resulting predictions.

- Recall that decision trees tend to have high variance. But averaging the results of independent (or weakly dependent) variables decreases variance
 - Think about the Central Limit Theorem
- Unlike a single tree model, we do not prune (we instead control variance by averaging)

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| 0000000 | |
| | |

• Recall from a previous homework that an individual observation has probability $1 - e^{-1} \approx 0.632$ of appearing in a bootstrap sample.

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| 0000000 | |
| | |

- Recall from a previous homework that an individual observation has probability $1 e^{-1} \approx 0.632$ of appearing in a bootstrap sample.
- For each bootstrap, approximately 1/3 of observations are not included (called *out-of-bag* observations)

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| 00000000 | |
| | |

- Recall from a previous homework that an individual observation has probability $1 e^{-1} \approx 0.632$ of appearing in a bootstrap sample.
- For each bootstrap, approximately 1/3 of observations are not included (called *out-of-bag* observations)
- The out-of-bag observations can be used as a natural validation set for the bootstrap model.

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| 00000000 | |
| | |

- Recall from a previous homework that an individual observation has probability $1 e^{-1} \approx 0.632$ of appearing in a bootstrap sample.
- For each bootstrap, approximately 1/3 of observations are not included (called *out-of-bag* observations)
- The out-of-bag observations can be used as a natural validation set for the bootstrap model.
- We get an overall estimate of test MSE for the bagged model by averaging the MSE of each bootstrap model on its out-of-bag observations

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| 00000000 | |
| | |

A Bag of Trees

We return to the pdxTrees data set, this time expanding both our data set size and number of predictors:

names(my_pdxTrees)

```
## [1] "DBH"
                                    "Condition"
## [3] "Tree_Height"
                                   "Crown Width NS"
## [5] "Crown Width EW"
                                   "Crown_Base_Height"
                                   "Mature_Size"
## [7] "Functional Type"
## [9] "Carbon_Sequestration_lb"
dim(mv pdxTrees)
## [1] 3015
                9
set.seed(1)
library(rsample)
my pdxTrees split <- initial split(my pdxTrees )</pre>
my_pdxTrees_train <- training(my_pdxTrees_split)</pre>
my pdxTrees test <- testing(my pdxTrees split)</pre>
```

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| 00000000 | |
| | |

A Bag of Trees

We return to the pdxTrees data set, this time expanding both our data set size and number of predictors:

names(my_pdxTrees)

```
## [1] "DBH"
                                   "Condition"
## [3] "Tree_Height"
                                   "Crown Width NS"
## [5] "Crown Width EW"
                                   "Crown_Base_Height"
## [7] "Functional Type"
                                    "Mature Size"
## [9] "Carbon Sequestration 1b"
dim(mv pdxTrees)
## [1] 3015
                9
set.seed(1)
library(rsample)
my_pdxTrees_split <- initial_split(my_pdxTrees )</pre>
my_pdxTrees_train <- training(my_pdxTrees_split)</pre>
my pdxTrees test <- testing(my pdxTrees split)</pre>
```

• Can we improve on our previous model predicting Carbon_Sequestration_lb, now using more data and more predictors?

| Ensemble | Models |
|----------|--------|
| 00000 | |

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Bagged pdXTrees

• Let's get a few bootstrap samples using rsample:

| Ensemble | Models |
|----------|--------|
| 00000 | |

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Bagged pdXTrees

```
• Let's get a few bootstrap samples using rsample:
library(rsample)
set.seed(1115)
pdx_bootstrap <- bootstraps(my_pdxTrees_train, times = 4)</pre>
```

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Bagged pdXTrees

```
• Let's get a few bootstrap samples using rsample:
library(rsample)
set.seed(1115)
pdx_bootstrap <- bootstraps(my_pdxTrees_train, times = 4)</pre>
```

• And now build trees on each:

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Bagged pdXTrees

```
• Let's get a few bootstrap samples using rsample:
library(rsample)
set.seed(1115)
pdx_bootstrap <- bootstraps(my_pdxTrees_train, times = 4)</pre>
```

• And now build trees on each:

```
library(rpart)
get_tree <- function(split){
    bootstrap_sample <- analysis(split)
    model <- rpart(Carbon_Sequestration_lb ~., data = bootstrap_sample)
}
pdx_bootstrap$model <- map(pdx_bootstrap$splits, get_tree)</pre>
```

| 00000 | |
|-------|--|

Bagging 00000●000 Random Forests 0000 Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

A few trees









| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 000000●00 | 0000 | |
| | | | |

• Let's get predictions for each bootstrap:

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 000000●00 | 0000 | |
| | | | |

```
• Let's get predictions for each bootstrap:
get_predictions <- function(model){
    predictions <- predict(model, my_pdxTrees_test)
    tibble(obs = my_pdxTrees_test$Carbon_Sequestration_lb, preds = predictions)
}
pdx_bootstrap$predictions <- map(pdx_bootstrap$model, get_predictions)</pre>
```

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 000000●00 | 0000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |

```
• Let's get predictions for each bootstrap:
get_predictions <- function(model){
    predictions <- predict(model, my_pdxTrees_test)
    tibble(obs = my_pdxTrees_test$Carbon_Sequestration_lb, preds = predictions)
}
pdx_bootstrap$predictions <- map(pdx_bootstrap$model, get_predictions)</pre>
```

And calculate rmse on each using yardstick

| Ensemble Models | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 000000●00 | 0000 | |
| | | | |

```
• Let's get predictions for each bootstrap:
get_predictions <- function(model){
    predictions <- predict(model, my_pdxTrees_test)
    tibble(obs = my_pdxTrees_test$Carbon_Sequestration_lb, preds = predictions)
}
pdx_bootstrap$predictions <- map(pdx_bootstrap$model, get_predictions)</pre>
```

And calculate rmse on each using yardstick

```
library(yardstick)
results <- map_dfr(pdx_bootstrap$predictions, rmse, obs, preds)
results</pre>
```

```
## # A tibble: 4 x 3
## .metric .estimator .estimate
## <chr> <chr> <chr> <dbl>
## 1 rmse standard 14.0
## 2 rmse standard 14.3
## 4 rmse standard 13.1
mean(results$.estimate)
```

```
## [1] 13.89024
```
| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------|---------------------------------|
| 000000000 | |
| | |

• How do individual tree predictions compare?

| | Bagging | | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|-----------|------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 000000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

• How do individual tree predictions compare?

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 5
## # Rowwise:
    tree1 tree2 tree3 tree4 bagged
##
##
    49.0 30.4 52.2 32.5
                        41.0
## 1
## 2
    34.7 38.0 43.3 32.8
                         37.2
## 3
    56.8 84.0
              67.6
                   72.9
                         70.3
     30.6 46.6
              38.8 37.8
                         38.4
## 4
## 5
    56.8 65.7 67.6 72.9
                         65.7
## 6
    56.8 84.0 89.1 72.9
                         75.7
```

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-----------|---------------------------------|
| 000000000 | |
| | |

• How do individual tree predictions compare?

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 5
## # Rowwise:
    tree1 tree2 tree3 tree4 bagged
##
    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
                             <dbl>
##
## 1
     49.0 30.4 52.2 32.5
                              41.0
     34.7 38.0 43.3 32.8
                              37.2
## 2
## 3
     56.8 84.0
                 67.6
                      72.9
                              70.3
     30.6 46.6
                 38.8 37.8
## 4
                              38.4
## 5
     56.8 65.7
                 67.6 72.9
                              65.7
## 6
     56.8 84.0 89.1 72.9
                              75.7
```

• How does the bagged model RMSE compare to each individual tree's RMSE?

| | Bagging | | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|-----------|------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 000000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

• How do individual tree predictions compare?

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 5
## # Rowwise:
##
                                     tree1 tree2 tree3 tree4 bagged
                                     <dbl> <dbl > <dd > <dbl > <dd > <dbl > <dbl > <dbl > <dbl > <d
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      <db1>
 ##
## 1
                                       49.0 30.4 52.2 32.5
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            41.0
                                           34.7 38.0 43.3 32.8
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              37.2
## 2
## 3
                                           56.8 84.0
                                                                                                                                        67.6
                                                                                                                                                                                72.9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              70.3
## 4
                                           30.6 46.6
                                                                                                                                        38.8 37.8
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              38.4
## 5
                                           56.8 65.7 67.6 72.9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              65.7
## 6
                                          56.8 84.0 89.1 72.9 75.7
```

How does the bagged model RMSE compare to each individual tree's RMSE?

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
    model
          metric estimator estimate
##
    <chr> <chr>
                   <chr>>
                                 <dbl>
##
## 1 tree 1 rmse standard
                                  14.0
## 2 tree 2 rmse standard
                                  14.3
## 3 tree 3 rmse standard
                                  14.3
## 4 tree 4 rmse standard
                                  13.1
## 5 bagged rmse
                 standard
                                  12.3
```

| | Bagging | | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|-----------|------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 000000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

• How do individual tree predictions compare?

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 5
## # Rowwise:
    tree1 tree2 tree3 tree4 bagged
##
    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
                             <dbl>
##
     49.0 30.4 52.2 32.5
                              41.0
## 1
     34.7 38.0 43.3 32.8
                              37.2
## 2
## 3
     56.8 84.0
                 67.6
                      72.9
                              70.3
## 4
     30.6 46.6 38.8 37.8
                              38.4
## 5
     56.8 65.7 67.6 72.9
                              65.7
## 6
     56.8 84.0 89.1 72.9
                              75.7
```

• How does the bagged model RMSE compare to each individual tree's RMSE?

| ## | # | A tib | b] | le: 5 x 4 | 1 | |
|----|---|-------------|----|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| ## | | model | _ | .metric | .estimator | .estimate |
| ## | | <chr></chr> | • | <chr></chr> | <chr></chr> | <dbl></dbl> |
| ## | 1 | tree | 1 | rmse | standard | 14.0 |
| ## | 2 | tree | 2 | rmse | standard | 14.3 |
| ## | 3 | tree | 3 | rmse | standard | 14.3 |
| ## | 4 | tree | 4 | rmse | standard | 13.1 |
| ## | 5 | bagge | ed | rmse | standard | 12.3 |

 Note that the RMSE for the bagged tree is NOT simply the average RMSE. It is significantly *lower*!

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| 00000000 | |
| | |

The more trees the merrier?

If 4 trees improved performance over 1, what if we bagged 10 trees? 100?



| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| 00000000 | |
| | |

The more trees the merrier?

If 4 trees improved performance over 1, what if we bagged 10 trees? 100?



- · Greatest gains by adding a small number of additional trees
- Moderately small gains thereafter

Section 3

Random Forests

Nate Wells (Math 243: Stat Learning)

| | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

| | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

Suppose we have m ensemble models built from the same data set and that it turns out that all m models are very similar.

• Do we expect the ensemble model to have high or low variance?

| | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

- Do we expect the ensemble model to have high or low variance?
 - High variance (since the models are very correlated)

| | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

- Do we expect the ensemble model to have high or low variance?
 - High variance (since the models are very correlated)
- When bagging trees, if one predictor accounts for large amount of deviation in the response, it will usually be selected as the first split (regardless of the bootstrap sample used)

| | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

- Do we expect the ensemble model to have high or low variance?
 - High variance (since the models are very correlated)
- When bagging trees, if one predictor accounts for large amount of deviation in the response, it will usually be selected as the first split (regardless of the bootstrap sample used)
- To artificially increase the variety among trees, we randomly restrict which predictors can be used at each split point.

| | Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

- Do we expect the ensemble model to have high or low variance?
 - High variance (since the models are very correlated)
- When bagging trees, if one predictor accounts for large amount of deviation in the response, it will usually be selected as the first split (regardless of the bootstrap sample used)
- To artificially increase the variety among trees, we randomly restrict which predictors can be used at each split point.
- Although counterintuitive, this restriction tends to increase accuracy of the ensemble by breaking correlations among the participant trees

| Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000 | |
| | | |

To create a random forest:

- Select the number of models m to build and a number of predictors k to use at each step t
- Ø Generate a bootstrap sample for each model
- Build a tree on the bootstrap sample where at each step, a random selection of k of the p predictors can be used (independent of prior predictors selected)
- Aggregate the models to create an ensemble model.

| Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000 | |
| | | |

To create a random forest:

- Select the number of models m to build and a number of predictors k to use at each step t
- Ø Generate a bootstrap sample for each model
- Build a tree on the bootstrap sample where at each step, a random selection of k of the p predictors can be used (independent of prior predictors selected)
- Ø Aggregate the models to create an ensemble model.

Advantages of the random forest?

| Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000 | |
| | | |

To create a random forest:

- Select the number of models m to build and a number of predictors k to use at each step t
- Ø Generate a bootstrap sample for each model
- Build a tree on the bootstrap sample where at each step, a random selection of k of the p predictors can be used (independent of prior predictors selected)
- **4** Aggregate the models to create an ensemble model.

Advantages of the random forest?

- Individual models are less correlated, so ensemble has lower variance
- Each tree is quicker to build (why?)

| Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000 | |
| | | |

To create a random forest:

- Select the number of models m to build and a number of predictors k to use at each step t
- Ø Generate a bootstrap sample for each model
- Build a tree on the bootstrap sample where at each step, a random selection of k of the p predictors can be used (independent of prior predictors selected)
- Aggregate the models to create an ensemble model.

Advantages of the random forest?

- Individual models are less correlated, so ensemble has lower variance
- Each tree is quicker to build (why?)

Disadvantages?

| Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000 | |
| | | |

To create a random forest:

- Select the number of models m to build and a number of predictors k to use at each step t
- Ø Generate a bootstrap sample for each model
- Build a tree on the bootstrap sample where at each step, a random selection of k of the p predictors can be used (independent of prior predictors selected)
- Ø Aggregate the models to create an ensemble model.

Advantages of the random forest?

- · Individual models are less correlated, so ensemble has lower variance
- Each tree is quicker to build (why?)

Disadvantages?

- Difficult to interpret
- Theoretically properties less well-studied (possible Senior Thesis project!)

| Bagging | Random Forests | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000 | |
| | | |

Hand-drawn Example

Bagging and Random Forests in R

Section 4

Bagging and Random Forests in R

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 000000 |
| | |

Random Forest in R

• To create both bagged trees and random forests, we use the randomForest function in the randomForest package in R:

```
library(randomForest)
rfmodel <- randomForest(Carbon_Sequestration_lb ~ ., data = my_pdxTrees_train)
rfmodel</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## randomForest(formula = Carbon_Sequestration_lb ~ ., data = my_pdxTrees_train)
## Type of random forest: regression
## Number of trees: 500
## No. of variables tried at each split: 2
##
## Mean of squared residuals: 112.2864
## % Var explained: 85.74
```

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 000000 |
| | |

We can control how many trees are generated with ntree and the number of predictors at each split with mtry

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 000000 |
| | |

We can control how many trees are generated with ntree and the number of predictors at each split with mtry

• By default, randomForest uses p/3 predictors for regression and \sqrt{p} predictors for classification

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 000000 |
| | |

We can control how many trees are generated with ntree and the number of predictors at each split with mtry

• By default, randomForest uses p/3 predictors for regression and \sqrt{p} predictors for classification

rfmodel2

##

```
## Call:
## randomForest(formula = Carbon_Sequestration_lb ~ ., data = my_pdxTrees_train, ntree = 1
## Type of random forest: regression
## Number of trees: 10
## No. of variables tried at each split: 5
##
## Mean of squared residuals: 106.4475
## % Var explained: 86.48
```

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 000000 |
| | |

We can control how many trees are generated with ntree and the number of predictors at each split with mtry

• By default, random Forest uses p/3 predictors for regression and \sqrt{p} predictors for classification

rfmodel2

##

```
## Call:
## randomForest(formula = Carbon_Sequestration_lb ~ ., data = my_pdxTrees_train, ntree = 1
## Type of random forest: regression
## Number of trees: 10
## No. of variables tried at each split: 5
##
## Mean of squared residuals: 106.4475
## % Var explained: 86.48
```

How can we create a bagged model using the randomForest function?

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 000000 |
| | |

We can control how many trees are generated with ntree and the number of predictors at each split with mtry

• By default, randomForest uses p/3 predictors for regression and \sqrt{p} predictors for classification

rfmodel2

##

```
## Call:
## randomForest(formula = Carbon_Sequestration_lb ~ ., data = my_pdxTrees_train, ntree = 1
## Type of random forest: regression
## Number of trees: 10
## No. of variables tried at each split: 5
##
## Mean of squared residuals: 106.4475
## % Var explained: 86.48
```

How can we create a bagged model using the randomForest function?

• Set mtry= p, where p is the total number predictors available

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000000 |
| | |

Making predictions

```
• So you have your randomForest model. How do you make predictions?
my_preds<- predict(rfmodel, my_pdxTrees_test)
results <- data.frame(obs = my_pdxTrees_test$Carbon_Sequestration_lb, preds = my_preds)
results %>% head()
## obs preds
## 1 39.0 38.85781
## 2 110.2 66.09302
## 3 61.2 75.53011
## 4 34.0 33.41863
## 5 75.4 51.02538
```

6 96.1 82.35864

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000000 |
| | |

Bagging and Random Forests increase prediction accuracy by reducing variance of the model.

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000000 |
| | |

Bagging and Random Forests increase prediction accuracy by reducing variance of the model.

• But the cost comes in interpretability We no longer have a single decision tree to follow to reach our prediction.

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000000 |
| | |

Bagging and Random Forests increase prediction accuracy by reducing variance of the model.

- But the cost comes in interpretability We no longer have a single decision tree to follow to reach our prediction.
- How can we determine which predictors are most influential?

| Bagging | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | 0000000 |
| | |

Bagging and Random Forests increase prediction accuracy by reducing variance of the model.

- But the cost comes in interpretability We no longer have a single decision tree to follow to reach our prediction.
- How can we determine which predictors are most influential?

One possibility is to record the total amount of RSS/Purity that is decreased due to splits of the given predictor, averaged across all trees in the random forest.

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Importance in R

importance(rfmodel)

| ## | | IncNodePurity |
|----|-------------------|---------------|
| ## | DBH | 507862.05 |
| ## | Condition | 54821.17 |
| ## | Tree_Height | 208750.74 |
| ## | Crown_Width_NS | 309930.48 |
| ## | Crown_Width_EW | 325846.81 |
| ## | Crown_Base_Height | 69137.26 |
| ## | Functional_Type | 170538.17 |
| ## | Mature_Size | 42785.73 |

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Importance in R

importance(rfmodel)

| ## | | IncNodePurity |
|----|-------------------|---------------|
| ## | DBH | 507862.05 |
| ## | Condition | 54821.17 |
| ## | Tree_Height | 208750.74 |
| ## | Crown_Width_NS | 309930.48 |
| ## | Crown_Width_EW | 325846.81 |
| ## | Crown_Base_Height | 69137.26 |
| ## | Functional_Type | 170538.17 |
| ## | Mature_Size | 42785.73 |

varImpPlot(rfmodel)



varImpPlot(rfmodel)

Bagging and Random Forests in R 0000000

Importance in R

| imj | <pre>importance(rfmodel)</pre> | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| ## | | IncNodePurity | | |
| ## | DBH | 507862.05 | | |
| ## | Condition | 54821.17 | | |
| ## | Tree_Height | 208750.74 | | |
| ## | Crown_Width_NS | 309930.48 | | |
| ## | Crown_Width_EW | 325846.81 | | |
| ## | Crown_Base_Height | 69137.26 | | |
| ## | Functional_Type | 170538.17 | | |
| ## | Mature_Size | 42785.73 | | |

| ſ | rfmodel |
|-------------------|----------|
| DBH | |
| Crown_Width_EW | o |
| Crown_Width_NS | 0 |
| Tree_Height | • |
| Functional_Type | o |
| Crown_Base_Height | o |
| Condition | 0 |
| Mature_Size | 0 |
| | |

- For regression trees, node impurity is calculated using RSS.
- For classification trees, node impurity is calculated using Gini Index.

| | Bagging | | Bagging and Random Forests in R |
|-------|----------|------|---------------------------------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000 | 000000 |

Comparison of Bagged Trees versus Random Forests

